The Structure of Diferrocenyl Ketone

By JAMES TROTTER* AND A.C. MACDONALD

Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver 8, B.C., Canada

(Received 25 June 1965 and in revised form 4 January 1966)

Crystals of diferrocenyl ketone, C_5H_5 . Fe . C_5H_4 . CO . C_5H_4 . Fe . C_5H_5 , are monoclinic, a=10.50, b=6.18, c=13.05 Å, $\beta=111^{\circ}0'$. The space group is P2/c and there are two molecules in the unit cell, the molecule having a C_2 axis coincident with the diad axis of the space group. The intensities of the reflexions were measured with a scintillation counter using Fe $K\alpha$ radiation; the iron, oxygen and carbon atom positions were determined by Patterson and electron-density methods, the hydrogen atoms were located from an $(F_o - F_c)$ synthesis, and all the positional and thermal parameters were refined by least-squares methods. The final R value for 664 observed reflexions is 0.063.

Each iron atom is sandwiched between two five-membered rings which are planar, parallel, separated by 3.30 Å, and rotated only 5° from an eclipsed orientation. The ferrocenyl groups are rotated 17° out of the carbonyl plane. The mean bond distances are Fe-C 2.05 Å, C-C (cyclopentadienyl rings) 1.43 Å, C-C (exocyclic) 1.45 Å, C=O 1.23 Å, C-H 1.1 Å, and the mean angle in the five-membered rings is 108°. The intermolecular separations correspond to van der Waals interactions.

Introduction

Crystal structure analyses of cyclopentadienyl compounds have shown that the five-membered rings are staggered in ferrocene (Dunitz, Orgel & Rich, 1956) and some of its derivatives, but eclipsed in ruthenocene (Hardgrove & Templeton, 1959) and its derivatives (Trotter, 1963). This difference in orientation could be a result of differences in bonding orbitals of the metal atoms, or of different *intra*molecular steric forces. A more recent analysis of biferrocenyl (Macdonald &

* Alfred P.Sloan Foundation Fellow.



Fig. 1. Diferrocenyl ketone.

Trotter, 1964; Kaluski, Struchkov & Avoyan, 1964) has shown that the orientation in this molecule is about midway between eclipsed and staggered, and this result, when compared with that of ferrocene, suggests that the orientations are strongly dependent on *inter*molecular forces (see also Edwards, Kington & Mason, 1960). The structure of ferrocenyl ruthenocenyl ketone, where both ferrocene and ruthenocene entities are present in the same molecule, has been investigated (Small & Trotter, 1964), but disorder in the crystal precluded an accurate determination of the structure.

The present paper describes an analysis of diferrocenyl ketone (Fig. 1), the most important object of the investigation being the determination of the orientation of the cyclopentadienyl rings.

Experimental

Crystals of diferrocenyl ketone (Rausch, Fischer & Grubert, 1960) are red-violet plates elongated along **b** with (100) developed. The density was measured by flotation in aqueous silver nitrate, and the unit-cell dimensions and space group were determined from various rotation and Weissenberg photographs, and on a General Electric Spectrogoniometer. The crystals are isomorphous with those of ferrocenyl ruthenocenyl ketone.

Crystal data (λ , Cu K α =1·5418 Å; λ , Fe K α =1·9373 Å) Diferrocenyl ketone, C₂₁H₁₈OFe₂; M.W. 398·0; m.p. 204 °C (decomp.).

Monoclinic, $a = 10.50 \pm 0.02$, $b = 6.18 \pm 0.01$,

 $c = 13.05 \pm 0.03$ Å, $\beta = 111^{\circ}$ 0' \pm 5'.

 $U = 790.6 \text{ Å}^3$.

 $D_m = 1.64, Z = 2, D_x = 1.67 \text{ g.cm}^{-3}.$

Absorption coefficients for X-rays, μ (Cu $K\alpha$)=150 cm⁻¹, μ (Fe $K\alpha$)=42 cm⁻¹.

F(000) = 408.

Absent reflexions: h0l when l is odd. Space group is $Pc(C_s^2)$ or $P2/c(C_{2h}^4)$. P2/c from structure analysis.

The intensities of the reflexions were measured on a General Electric XRD 5 Spectrogoniometer with Single Crystal Orienter, using a scintillation counter, approximately monochromatic Fe $K\alpha$ radiation (manganese filter and pulse height analyser), and the mov-

ing-crystal moving-counter method (Furnas, 1957). Of 790 reflexions in the range $0 < 2\theta$ (Fe $K\alpha) \le 146^{\circ}$ (corresponding to a minimum interplanar spacing d = $1 \cdot 0$ Å), 664 (84%) had a measurable intensity. The intensities were corrected for background, which was found to be approximately a function of θ only. The crystal used was mounted with **b** parallel to the φ axis of the goniostat, and had dimensions $0 \cdot 05 \times 0.6 \times 0.4$ mm parallel to **a***, **b**, **c** respectively. Efforts to cut the



Fig. 2. (a) Superimposed sections of the final three-dimensional electron-density distribution, taken through the atomic centres parallel to (010). Contour intervals: iron, 5 e.Å⁻³ starting at 5 e.Å⁻³; other atoms 1 e.Å⁻³ starting at 2 e.Å⁻³. (b) Drawing of the molecule. (c) Sections of the electron-density distribution, and of the $(F_o - F_c)$ synthesis in the mean ring planes. Contours as in (a) for the electron-density, and at ± 0.2 , 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 e.Å⁻³ for the difference density.

crystals to more uniform dimensions were unsuccessful, and the use of molybdenum radiation was impractical since this would have reduced the intensities by a factor of twenty ($\{1.94/0.71\}^3$). It was therefore important to apply corrections for absorption errors. Because of the thinness of the crystal plate, absorption is serious only for planes in a few very narrow regions of reciprocal space (Buerger, 1960), and approximate corrections were applied for planes in these regions of high absorption by considering the path lengths in the crystal of beams reflected from the centre (Albrecht, 1939). The maximum correction was 40% in intensity. Lorentz and polarization factors were applied and the structure amplitudes were derived.

Structure analysis

The iron atom position was determined from the threedimensional Patterson function and positional parameters were derived for the C=O group and for the atoms of the five-membered ring bonded to it, by assuming a structure in space group P2/c similar to that of ferrocenyl ruthenocenyl ketone (Small & Trotter, 1964). Structure factors were calculated, using the Fe, C, O scattering factors of *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1962), and a Fourier series was summed. The resulting electron-density distribution had, of course, symmetry P2/c, and the good resolution of all the atoms and the absence of false peaks confirmed that P2/c was the true space group. The map gave positions for all the Fe, C and O atoms in the molecule.

The positional and thermal parameters were refined by (block-diagonal) least-squares methods, minimizing $\Sigma w (F_o - F_c)^2$. Since the intensity measurements were considered to be least reliable for the very strong and for the very weak reflexions, the weighting scheme used was $Vw = |F_o|/F^*$ when $|F_o| < F^*$ and $Vw = F^*/|F_o|$ when $|F_o| \ge F^*$; F^* was taken as 12. After four cycles with isotropic thermal parameters and five cycles with anisotropic thermal parameters, the shifts were small

Table 1.	Measured and calculated structure factor	'S
	Columns are h , $10 F_o $, $10F_c$	

HOL U 241 210 1 241 210 2 1537 3 250 2 1537 3 250 2 1537 3 250 2 1537 253 253 7 223 210 8 9 65 12 7 -7 223 210 8 -7 223 210
L 10 L
1 1
0 218 200 0
L 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7 - 7
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
* 129 -141 - 7 - 7 - 12 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 -
$\begin{array}{c} -2 & 127 & 104 \\ -1 & 351 & -319 \\ 1 & 301 & 266 \\ 2 & 106 & 144 \\ 3 & 3101 & 146 \\ 3 & 3101 & 146 \\ 1 & 206 & 146 \\ 1 & 1111 \\ 1 & 1111 \\ 1 & 111 \\ 1 & 111 \\ 1 & 111 \\ 1 & 11$

and in random directions, the maximum parameter shift in the final cycle being one-third of a standard deviation, so that the refinement was considered to have converged. The R value was 0.081 for the 664 observed reflexions. Final three-dimensional F_o and $(F_o - F_c)$ syntheses were computed. Superimposed sections of the final electron-density distribution are shown in Fig. 2(a), and a drawing of the molecule with the atom numbering used is shown in Fig. 2(b). The electron densities in the mean plane of each cyclopentadienyl ring are shown in Fig. 2(c). As is clear from Fig. 2(a) and (c) all the atoms are very well resolved. The difference electron densities in the mean ring planes are also shown in Fig. 2(c); the most significant features are nine well-resolved peaks corresponding to the nine hydrogen atoms in the asymmetric unit. The rest of the three-dimensional difference map contained no fluctuations greater than ± 0.4 e.Å⁻³.

Hydrogen atom positions were obtained from the three-dimensional difference map, and their contributions were included in the structure factors, taking B=5 Å²; R was reduced to 0.072. Before the refinement was continued, the suitability of the weighting scheme was considered by examining the values of $(F_o - F_c)$. These values were largest for the very weak, and for some of the strong reflexions, but they suggested that the weights assigned to the strong reflexions were somewhat low. F^* was therefore increased to 20, and refinement was then completed by four further cycles, refining positional and anisotropic ther-

Table 2. Final fractional positional parameters (×10⁴ for C, O, Fe atoms, ×10³ for H atoms; O(2), C(3) are in 2(e), other atoms in 4(g) of P2/c), standard deviations (Å×10³), thermal parameters and standard deviations (U_{ij} in Å²×10²; B in Å²), and deviations, Δ , from the ring planes (Å)

Atom Fe(1) D(2) C(3) C(4) C(5) C(6) C(7) C(7) C(8) C(9) C(10) C(10) C(11) C(12) C(13)	x 2497 0 1286 2579 3614 2983 1508 1443 2685 3787 3249 1819	y 2423 7028 5031 3962 4959 3469 1466 1692 2336 3586 2214 0134 0218	z 4040 $\frac{1}{4}$ 2653 3074 3120 2707 2409 5093 5566 5639 5224 4882	σ(x) 0 15 17 18 16 17 17 20 17 18 18 18 18	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\sigma(z) \\ 2.6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 15 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 15 \\ 16 \\ 15 \\ 17 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 16 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17 \\ 17$	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta_1 \\ + 1.647 \\ - 0.329 \\ - 0.002 \\ 0 \\ - 0.003 \\ + 0.005 \\ - 0.005 \\ + 0.003 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta_2 \\ -1.647 \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ - \\ $
H(5) H(6) H(7) H(8) H(9) H(10) H(11) H(12) H(13)	277 475 363 077 032 282 495 379 105	649 . 333 -033 084 265 506 267 -102 -129	322 347 274 194 462 572 584 526 437		Mean $\sigma(x) = 0.16 \text{ Å}$ $\sigma(y) = 0.22$ $\sigma(z) = 0.18$		$ \begin{array}{c} -0.1 \\ +0.1 \\ +0.2 \\ -0.2 \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ -$	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.3 \\ -0.1 \\ -0.2 \\ +0.1 \\ -0.1 \end{array} $
Atom Fe(1) O(2) C(3) C(4) C(5) C(6) C(7) C(8) C(7) C(8) C(9) C(10) C(11) C(12) C(13)	$U_{11} \\ 4.51 \\ 7.52 \\ 5.12 \\ 3.35 \\ 4.53 \\ 4.53 \\ 4.59 \\ 3.68 \\ 4.26 \\ 6.17 \\ 6.75 \\ 5.27 \\ 5.65 \\ 5.50 \\ 1.12 $	$U_{12} - 0.33 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.25 \\ -0.29 \\ -1.09 \\ 0.54 \\ 0.33 \\ 0.94 \\ -0.35 \\ -0.53 \\ -0.02 \\ -1.58 \\ 0.02 \\ -1.58 \\ 0.02 \\ -1.58 \\ 0.02 \\ 0.0$		$\begin{array}{c} U_{13} \\ -1 \cdot 40 \\ -6 \cdot 45 \\ -2 \cdot 17 \\ -1 \cdot 10 \\ -1 \cdot 00 \\ -0 \cdot 89 \\ 0 \cdot 88 \\ -0 \cdot 56 \\ 1 \cdot 15 \\ -0 \cdot 27 \\ -1 \cdot 13 \\ -0 \cdot 19 \\ -0 \cdot 11 \end{array}$	$U_{22} \\ 3.47 \\ 1.01 \\ 1.04 \\ 2.34 \\ 2.38 \\ 3.28 \\ 3.95 \\ 1.63 \\ 7.07 \\ 6.47 \\ 6.23 \\ 4.62 \\ 4.85 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$U_{23} \\ 0.09 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0.044 \\ 0.95 \\ 1.14 \\ 0.97 \\ -0.11 \\ 0.94 \\ -0.32 \\ -0.18 \\ 1.26 \\ 1.71 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.05 \\ 0.0$	$U_{33} \\ 3.51 \\ 9.67 \\ 2.67 \\ 2.28 \\ 2.77 \\ 2.56 \\ 1.85 \\ 1.87 \\ 2.94 \\ 2.10 \\ 2.07 \\ 2.29 \\ 2.97 \\ 1.5 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Mean } \sigma(U) \\ 0.13 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.3 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.9 \\ 0.8 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$
H(5) H(6) H(7) H(8) H(9) H(10) H(11) H(12) H(13)	B=4 3 1 10 6 8 1 2 3	Ų, mean σ(.	B)≃54	å 2				

,

mal parameters for the iron, carbon, and oxygen atoms, and positional and isotropic thermal parameters for the hydrogen atoms. In the final cycles the shifts were in random directions, and the maximum shift in the last cycle in a heavier atom parameter was 0.3σ . The hydrogen atoms behaved reasonably well in the refinement, although their thermal parameters show a rather wide variation, and the maximum shift in a hydrogen parameter in the last cycle was about one-third of a standard deviation. The final measured and calculated structure factors are listed in Table 1 (R=0.063 for the 664 observed reflexions). A final $(F_o - F_c)$ synthesis showed a trough of -0.4 e.Å⁻³ at the iron atom position, with two adjacent small peaks of +0.4 e.Å⁻³. These fluctuations indicate that minor adjustments are required in the iron scattering factor. probably small changes in thermal parameters, but since the fluctuations are small, no adjustments were made. The rest of the difference map showed no significant features, the difference density not exceeding $\pm \frac{1}{4}$ e.Å⁻³.

Coordinates and molecular dimensions

The final positional and thermal parameters are given in Table 2, together with their standard deviations estimated from the inverses of the diagonals of the matrix of the least-squares normal equations. x, y, and z are fractional coordinates referred to the monoclinic crystal axes, and U_{ij} are the components of the vibration tensors, written in matrix form and referred to orthogonal axes a, b, and c^* .

The bond distances and valency angles, and their standard deviations from the least-squares residuals,

are given in Table 3. The standard deviations of the bond lengths, computed from the least-squares coordinate standard deviations, vary from 0.015-0.019 Å for individual Fe-C bonds, and from 0.023-0.032 Å for individual C-C bonds. Since there are ten independent Fe-C bonds and ten independent C-C ring bonds, the standard deviations may also be estimated by assuming that the ten bonds of each type have equal lengths, and computing the root mean square deviations from the mean values. The values of σ derived in this manner are 0.012 Å for individual Fe-C bonds and 0.024 Å for C-C bonds, so that the least-squares values are a reasonable, and actually slightly pessimistic, estimate of the errors. The standard deviations of the mean Fe-C and C-C distances, from the leastsquares σ 's, are 0.005 Å and 0.008 Å respectively. Similar considerations apply to the standard deviations of the angles, the standard deviation of the individual values being 1.5° from the least-squares σ 's, and 1.3° from the root mean square deviation from the mean. The standard deviations of the C-H bond distances are about 0.2 Å.

The planes of the five-membered carbon rings have equations:

Ring 1 [C(4)–C(8)]:

$$-0.2706X' - 0.2654Y + 0.9254Z' = 2.3117$$
,
Ring 2 [C(9)–C(13)]:
 $-0.2880X' - 0.2825Y + 0.9150Z' = 5.5170$,

where X', Y, Z' are coordinates in Å referred to orthogonal axes a, b and c*. These planes are very nearly parallel (the angle between their normals is $\cos^{-10.9996}$ =0° within experimental error), and the distance be-

Bond	l	$\sigma(l)$	Atoms	Angle	Mean σ
Fe-C(4)	2·037 Å	0·015 Å	C(4) - C(5) - C(6)) 111°	1.2°
Fe-C(5)	2.032	0.017	C(5) - C(6) - C(7)) 108	
Fe-C(6)	2.060	0.018	C(6) - C(7) - C(8)) 109	
Fe-C(7)	2.067	0.017	C(7) - C(8) - C(4)) 106	
Fe-C(8)	2.056	0.016	C(8) - C(4) - C(5)) 107	
Fe-C(9)	2.052	0.017	C(9) - C(10) - C(1)	í) 107	
Fe-C(10)	2.061	0.019	C(10) - C(11) - C(1)	2) 108	
Fe-C(11)	2.045	0.017	C(11) - C(12) - C(1)	3) 109	
Fe-C(12)	2.035	0.019	C(12) - C(13) - C(9)) 108	
Fe-C(13)	2.034	0.019	C(13) - C(9) - C(1)	0) 108	
Mean Fé–C	2.048		Mean C-C-C	108	
C(4)—C(5)	1.412	0.023	C(5)-C(4)-C(3) 125	
C(5) - C(6)	1.409	0.024	C(8) - C(4) - C(3)) 128	
C(6) - C(7)	1.416	0.024	C(4) - C(3) - O(2)) 117	
C(7) - C(8)	1.463	0.023	C(4) - C(3) - C(4)	') 126	
C(8) - C(4)	1.476	0.023			
C(9) - C(10)	1.450	0.030	Bond	l Ator	ms Angle
C(10) - C(11)	1.410	0.028	C(5)—H 1	•0 Å C-C	–Н 111°–139°
C(11)-C(12)	1.430	0.030	C(6)—H 1	·1 Mea	n 125°
C(12) - C(13)	1.406	0.025	C(7)—H 1	.3	
C(13)-C(9)	1.422	0.032	C(8)—H 1	·0	
Mean C-C	1.429		C(9)—H 1	·1	
			С(10)-Н 0	.9	
C(3) - C(4)	1.451	0.021	С(11)–Н 1	·2	
C(3) - O(2)	1.235	0.028	С(12)-Н 0	.9	
,,,,,,			С(13)-Н 1	•3	
			Mean C-H 1	•1	

Table 3. Bond distances and valency angles, and standard deviations

Table 4. Shorter intermolecular distances

All distances ≤ 4 Å between a standard half-molecule (I) and neighbouring molecules were calculated; only the most significant contacts are listed.

I···	$\cdots \mathbf{II}$	d		I···	· · · V	d
C(7)	C(12)	3.49	Å	H(6)	H(6)	2.8
H(7)	C(11)	3.0				
				$I \cdots$	$\cdots VI$	
$I \cdots$	· · · III			O(2)	H(8)	2.7
H(6)	H(12)	2.3		O(2)	H(13)	2.5
H(7)	H(11)	2.4		H(5)	H(7)	2.3
H(11)	H(12)	2.5				
1	· · · īv					
H(9)	H(13)	2.4				
	Molecul	e I at	x	v	z	
		II	x	- v	$-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}$	
		ÎÎI	1-x	-v	1 - z	
		IV	-x	-v	1-z	
		v	1-x	v	$\frac{1}{2}-z$	
		VI	x	1+y	- Z	

tween them is 3.30 Å. The deviations of the atoms from the planes are included in Table 2.

All the shorter intermolecular contacts are listed in Table 4, and the packing of the molecules is shown in Fig. 3.

Discussion

The analysis has shown that the molecule has symmetry C_2 (Figs. 1, 2, 3), with each iron atom sandwiched between two cyclopentadienyl rings. These rings are planar within experimental error (maximum displacement from mean planes is only 0.005 Å), almost exactly parallel, and separated by 3.30 Å.

The carbon atom of the carbonyl group lies on the planes of each of the rings to which it is bonded (displacement only 0.002 Å), but each ring is rotated, by 17° about the C(3)–C(4) bond, out of the carbonyl plane [C(4), C(3), O(2), C(4')]. These rotations are the result of intramolecular steric interference between atoms H(8) and H(8'), the distance between which would be only about 1.7 Å in a coplanar model, but is increased to 2.5_5 Å by the rotations from planarity. As a result of the rotations the oxygen atom is displaced from the ring planes by 0.33 Å.

The primary object of the investigation was the determination of the relation between the cyclopentadienyl rings. This is illustrated in Figs. 1, 2 and 3, and more clearly in Fig. 4, which shows a view of half of the molecule along the normal to the planes of the rings. The orientation is very nearly eclipsed, and can be more precisely described in terms of the rotation of one of the rings from the fully eclipsed position as given by the angles between the projections, on the mean plane of a cyclopentadienyl ring, either of the



Fig.4. View of half of the molecule along the normal to the planes of the rings. Heavier lines are nearer the viewer.



Fig. 3. Projection of the structure along b.

Fe-C bonds or of the vectors from each carbon atom to the mean centre of each ring:

C(4)-Fe-C(9) =	=8·3°	C(4)-centre- $C(9)$	$=6.8^{\circ}$
C(5)-Fe-C(10) =	= 7.6	C(5)-centre- $C(10)$	() = 4.7
C(6)-Fe- $C(11)$ =	= 5.8	C(6)-centre- $C(1)$	1) = 5.2
C(7)-Fe-C(12) =	= 1.0	C(7)-centre- $C(12)$	2) = 3.8
C(8)-Fe- $C(13)$ =	=3.4	C(8)-centre- $C(13)$	3) = 5.4
Mean	5.2	Mean	5.2

The mean rotation from the eclipsed position is only $5\cdot 2^{\circ}$, in comparison with 36° for the fully staggered position. This nearly eclipsed orientation may be compared with that in ferrocene (Dunitz, Orgel & Rich, 1956), where the rings are staggered, and with that in biferrocenyl (Macdonald & Trotter, 1964), where the arrangement is about midway between eclipsed and staggered. Since the inter-ring distances are similar in all three molecules (Table 5), these results suggest that the orientations must be strongly dependent on intermolecular forces.

The mean bond distances in diferrocenvl ketone are very similar to those in related molecules (Table 5). The average Fe-C distance is 2.05 Å (mean standard deviation of individual values is 0.017 Å), the average C-C distance in the rings is 1.43 Å (mean σ is 0.026 Å), the exocyclic C–C bond measures 1.45 Å (σ , 0.021 Å), and the C=O bond 1.235 Å (σ , 0.028 Å). There are apparent deviations from pentagonal symmetry in the measured C-C bond distances, but since the maximum difference from the mean value is only 1.9σ [for C(8)-C(4)], the deviations cannot be considered as significant. The mean angle in the rings is, of course, 108°, and the angles at the carbonyl group (117° and 126°) do not differ from normal values. The mean C-H bond distance is 1.1 Å ($\sigma = 0.2$ Å for individual bonds), and none of the lengths is significantly different from the mean value. Variations in C-C-H angle are also not significant, the mean angle being 125°. The fact that the hydrogen atoms have been located indicates that the experimental data are quite reliable, as also indicated by the physically reasonable thermal parameters described below.

The thermal vibrations are analysed in Table 6 in terms of the mean square atomic displacements. For each ring the thermal motion is smallest normal to the ring plane. The in-plane vibrations are largest for the outer ring [C(9)–C(13)], and this ring shows a small amount of rotational oscillation about its normal; the

mean square tangential vibration is 0.065 Å², in comparison with a mean square radial displacement of 0.050 Å². The rings bonded to the carbonyl group have smaller thermal motions, and exhibit only slight libration, the tangential and radial vibration being 0.036 and 0.030 Å² respectively. The rotational motion is thus not very great for either ring, as suggested also by Fig. 2(c) where the electron-density contours are not as elongated as in several other compounds of this type (see for example Dunitz, Orgel & Rich, 1956; Jones, Marsh & Richards, 1965). The carbonyl group thermal vibration is smallest parallel to the C–O bond, and large in directions perpendicular to the bond, the thermal motion of the oxygen atom being greater as might be expected.

Table 6. Mean square vibrations $(\overline{u^2} \text{ in } \mathbb{A}^2)$ in various directions

Parallel to	In	plane
plane normals	Radial	[•] Tangential
0.027	0.026	0.026
0.029	0.029	0.040
0.025	0.036	0.043
0.016	0.035	0.043
0.024	0.026	0.028
0.026	0.057	0.079
0.030	0.059	0.064
0.032	0.041	0.063
0.022	0.021	0.053
0.023	0.043	0.067
Parallel to	Parallel to	Parallel to
C = O bond	а	c*
0.010	0.075	0.097
0.010	0.021	0.027
	Parallel to plane normals 0.027 0.029 0.025 0.016 0.024 0.026 0.030 0.032 0.022 0.023 Parallel to C=0 bond 0.010 0.010	Parallel to In plane normals Radial 0.027 0.026 0.027 0.029 0.029 0.029 0.025 0.036 0.016 0.035 0.024 0.026 0.026 0.057 0.030 0.059 0.032 0.041 0.023 0.043 Parallel to Parallel to $C=O$ bond \mathbf{a} 0.010 0.075 0.010 0.051

All the intermolecular contacts (Table 4 and Fig. 3) correspond to van der Waals interactions. The shortest contacts all involve hydrogen atoms.

Grateful acknowledgement is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research under grant no. PRF 1704-A5, to the Department of Scientific and Industrial Research, United Kingdom, for the award of a research studentship (to A.C.M.), and to Dr M.D.Rausch for the crystal sample. All the calculations were performed on the IBM 7040 computer with our own programs, and we thank the staff of the U.B.C. Computing Centre for assistance.

Table 5. Average distances in ferrocene derivatives (A	A	.))
--	---	----	---

		Inter-ring	
Compound Fe-	C C–C*	distance	Reference
Ferrocene 2.03	5 1.40	3.32	Dunitz, Orgel & Rich (1956)
Dibenzoylferrocene 2.03	5 1.41		Struchkov & Khotsyanova (1957)
Biferrocenyl 2.04	4 1.40	3.32	Macdonald & Trotter (1964)
α -Keto-1,1'-trimethyleneferrocene 2.04	4 1.43		Jones, Marsh & Richards (1965)
1,1'-Tetramethylethyleneferrocene 2.04	4 1.44		Laing & Trueblood (1965)
Diferrocenyl ketone 2.03	5 1.43	3.30	This paper

* None of the distances have been corrected for thermal librations.

References

- ALBRECHT, G. (1939). Rev. Sci. Instrum. 10, 221.
- BUERGER, M. J. (1960). Crystal-structure Analysis, p.218ff. New York: John Wiley.
- DUNITZ, J. D., ORGEL, L. E. & RICH, A. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 373.
- EDWARDS, J. W., KINGTON, G. L. & MASON, R. (1960). Trans. Faraday Soc. 56, 660.
- FURNAS, T. C. (1957). Single Crystal Orienter Instruction Manual. Milwaukee: General Electric Company.
- HARDGROVE, G. L. & TEMPLETON, D. H. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 28.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

- JONES, N. D., MARSH, R. E. & RICHARDS, J. H. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 330.
- KALUSKI, Z. L., STRUCHKOV, YU. T. & AVOYAN, R. L. (1964). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 5, 743 (Russ. J. Struct. Chem. 5, 683).
- LAING, M. B. & TRUEBLOOD, K. N. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 373.
- MACDONALD, A. C. & TROTTER, J. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 872.
- RAUSCH, M. D., FISCHER, E. O. & GRUBERT, H. (1960). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 82, 76.
- SMALL, G. J. & TROTTER, J. (1964). Canad. J. Chem. 42, 1746.
- STRUCHKOV, YU. T. & KHOTSYANOVA, T. L. (1957). Kristallografiya, 2, 382.
- TROTTER, J. (1963). Acta Cryst. 16, 571.

Acta Cryst. (1966). 21, 366

The Crystal and Molecular Structure of 1,2-O-Aminoisopropylidene-α-D-glucopyranose Hydroiodide

BY JAMES TROTTER* AND J.K. FAWCETT

Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver 8, B.C., Canada

(Received 25 June 1965 and in revised form 4 January 1966)

Crystals of 1,2-O-aminoisopropylidene- α -D-glucopyranose hydroiodide are monoclinic, a = 10.09, b = 7.88, c = 8.16 Å, $\beta = 100.6^{\circ}$, Z = 2, space group $P2_1$. The intensities of 1360 reflexions were measured with a scintillation counter and Mo K α radiation. The iodide ion position was determined by Patterson methods, and all carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms were located from two three-dimensional electron-density distributions. The positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of the seventeen I, C, N, O, atoms in the asymmetric unit were refined by least-squares, and all the hydrogen atoms (except those of the CH₃ group) were located from an $(F_o - F_c)$ synthesis; the final R value is 0.089.

The previously unknown configuration of the asymmetric dioxolane 2-carbon atom is determined, and the absolute configuration is established since the compound is derived from D-glucose. The fivemembered ring has an envelope conformation, with the dioxolane 2-carbon atom displaced 0.36 Å from the plane of the other four atoms, and the pyranose ring has a flattened chair conformation. The dihedral angles are different from those deduced from a previous proton magnetic resonance (p.m.r.) study, but the p.m.r. data can be reinterpreted in terms of a conformation similar to that found in the present analysis. The bond distances and valency angles are normal. The crystal is held together by a system of O-H \cdots O (2.69 Å), N-H \cdots O (2.74, 2.85, 3.03 Å), and O-H \cdots I⁻ (3.39, 3.47 Å) hydrogen bonds.

Introduction

In a study of the proton magnetic resonance (p.m.r.) spectra of a series of 1,2-O-alkylidene- α -D-glucopyranoses, Coxon & Hall (1964) suggested that the pyranose ring in these derivatives adopts, not the expected flattened chair, but essentially a skewed boat conformation. This deduction was made by measuring the vicinal proton-coupling constants, and using the Karplus (1959, 1963) equation,

$$J = J_0 \cos^2 \varphi - K$$

to calculate the dihedral angles[†] (φ) from the coupling constants (J), J₀ and K being empirically derived constants. Since this equation involves a $\cos^2\varphi$ dependence, two angles may be calculated for each coupling constant, and Coxon & Hall chose acute dihedral angles between H(1) and H(2), between H(2) and H(3), and between H(3) and H(4), with values in the range about 40–60° for the various compounds, and angles close to 180° for the H(4), H(5) dihedral angles. These choices were made by comparison with compounds of known chair conformation, in which the dihedral angles are

^{*} Alfred P.Sloan Foundation Fellow.

 $[\]dagger$ The dihedral angle between two hydrogen atoms on adjacent carbon atoms is the angle between the C-H bonds when they are viewed along the axis of the C-C bond.